

City of Hawthorne Annual Financial Report of its

Proposition A Local Return Fund
Proposition C Local Return Fund
Measure R Local Return Fund
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund

As of and for the Years Ended June 30, 2018 and 2017

and

Measure M Local Return Fund

As of and for the Year Ended June 30, 2018 with Report of Independent Auditors






	<u>PAGE</u>
FINANCIAL SECTION	
Report of Independent Auditors	1
Proposition A Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements: Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	4 5
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	6 7
Proposition C Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	0
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	8
Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	10 11
Measure R Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	12 13
Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	14 15
Measure M Local Return Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheet Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance	16 17
Supplementary Information: Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Schedule of Capital Assets	18 19
Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund: Basic Financial Statements:	
Balance Sheets Statements of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Supplementary Information:	20 21
Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects	22
Notes to Funds Financial Statements	23
Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i>	28

	PAGE
COMPLIANCE SECTION	
Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance Compliance Matrix	30 32
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	36
EXIT CONFERENCE	37





www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego Manila

Report of Independent Auditors

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Hawthorne, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Hawthorne, California (the City) which comprise the Funds' balance sheets as of June 30, 2018 and 2017, and the related statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for the years then ended, and the accompanying financial statements of the City's Measure M Local Return Fund (the Fund) which comprise the Fund's balance sheet as of June 30, 2018, and the related statement of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balance for the year then ended, and the related notes to the financial statements.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements based on our audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free from material misstatement.



An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the City's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Opinions

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund as of June 30, 2018 and 2017 and the Measure M Local Return Fund as of June 30, 2018, of the City of Hawthorne, California, and the respective changes in financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 2, the financial statements present only the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund of the City of Hawthorne, California, and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the financial position of the City as of June 30, 2018 and 2017, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our opinion is not modified with respect to this matter.

Supplementary Information

Our audits were conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on each of the Funds' financial statements as a whole. The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is presented for purposes of additional analysis and is not a required part of the basic financial statements.

The supplementary information identified in the table of contents is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements. Such information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the Funds' basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the Funds' basic financial statements or to the Funds' basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the supplementary information is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to each of the Funds' basic financial statements as a whole.



Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

Varguer & Company LLP

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 17, 2018 on our consideration of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting and compliance.

Glendale, California December 17, 2018

			June 30			
			2018		2017	
	ASSETS					
Cash and investments		\$	1,199,693	\$	716,869	
Interest receivable		_	957	_	380	
	Total assets	\$_	1,200,650	\$_	717,249	
LIABILITIES Liabilities	AND FUND BALANCE					
Accounts payable		\$_	265,788	\$_	44,315	
	Total liabilities	_	265,788	_	44,315	
Fund balance Restricted			024 962		672 024	
Restricted	Total fried balance	-	934,862		672,934	
	Total liabilities and fund balance	_	934,862	- __ -	672,934	
	Total liabilities and fund balance	\$ _	1,200,650	\$	717,249	

		Years ended June 30				
		2018	2017			
Revenues Proposition A Investment income Project generated revenues	\$	1,641,543 3,468 8,669	1,393 11,220			
	Total revenues	1,653,680	1,612,121			
Expenditures Various projects	Total expenditures	1,391,752 1,391,752	1,272,876 1,272,876			
Excess of revenues over expenditures		261,928	339,245			
Fund balance at beginning of year		672,934	333,689			
Fund balance at end of year	\$	934,862	\$ 672,934			

City of Hawthorne Proposition A Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2018 (With Comparative Actuals for 2017)

Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget	_	Actual	 Variance Positive (Negative)	2017 Actual
120-01	Dial-A-Ride \$	500,000	\$	469,787	\$ 30,213 \$	455,619
140-04	Recreational Transit	9,000		7,763	1,237	7,937
220-01	Transit Safety Police	900,000		900,000	-	800,000
250-01	Bus Pass Subsidy	30,000		8,142	21,858	3,260
400-01	Traffic Signal Transit	150,000		-	150,000	-
480-08	Administration	6,060		6,060		6,060
	Total expenditures \$	1,595,060	\$	1,391,752	\$ 203,308 \$	1,272,876

City of Hawthorne Proposition A Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets Year ended June 30, 2018

Date Acquired		Description	Balance July 1, 2017	 Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2018
	None	\$	-	\$ - \$	\$	
		Total \$		\$ - \$	\$	

		June 30			
		2018		2017	
Cash and investments	ASSETS \$	1,728,344	Φ.	1,736,379	
Interest receivable	Ψ	1,720,344	Ψ	792	
interest reservable	Total assets \$	1,729,681	\$	1,737,171	
LIABILITIES	S AND FUND BALANCE				
Liabilities					
Accounts payable	\$	498,822	\$	208,005	
Accrued payroll		2,732		2,342	
	Total liabilities	501,554		210,347	
Fund balance					
Restricted		1,228,127	_	1,526,824	
	Total fund balance	1,228,127		1,526,824	
	Total liabilities and fund balance \$	1,729,681	\$	1,737,171	

			Years ended June 30			
			2018		2017	
Revenues						
Proposition C		\$	1,360,540	\$	1,327,758	
Investment income			5,366		2,945	
	Total revenues		1,365,906		1,330,703	
Expenditures Various projects	Total expenditures	_	1,664,603 1,664,603	_	1,090,806 1,090,806	
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over e	xpenditures		(298,697)		239,897	
Fund balance at beginning of year			1,526,824	_	1,286,927	
Fund balance at end of year		\$	1,228,127	\$	1,526,824	

City of Hawthorne Proposition C Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2018 (With Comparative Actuals for 2017)

	_	2018						
Project Code	Project Name	LACMTA Budget		Actual		Variance Positive (Negative)	_	2017 Actual
150-3	Bus Shelter Maintenance \$	20,000	\$	8,021	\$	11,979 \$	\$	11,800
170-4	Bus Stop Maintenance	20,000		6,707		13,293		17,500
250-01	Bus Pass Subsidy	10,000		-		10,000		-
450-02	Street Improvement Projects	1,400,000		1,422,156		(22,156)		819,177
480-01	Administration	177,959		144,679		33,280		164,930
480-08	Administration	11,036		11,040		(4)		16,010
500-01	Bus Routes Street Lighting	72,000		72,000		-		61,389
	Total expenditures \$	1,710,995	\$	1,664,603	\$	46,392	\$_	1,090,806

Date _Acquired_	Description		Balance July 1, 2017	Additions	Deletions	Balance June 30, 2018
2005	Ford 550	\$	38,282	\$ - \$	- \$	38,282
2005	Ford 550		12,500	_	-	12,500
2007	2008 Ford Truck		64,936	-	-	64,936
2011	2011 Ford 450		52,131	-	-	52,131
2013	2013 Ford 550		60,000	-	-	60,000
2015	2015 Ford 450		55,000	-	-	55,000
		Total \$	282,849	\$ - \$	- \$	282,849

		Ju	ne 3	30
	_	2018		2017
	ASSETS			
Cash and investments	\$	1,260,030	\$	1,108,814
Interest receivable	_	936	_	494
	Total assets \$ _	1,260,966	\$_	1,109,308
LIABILITIES Liabilities Accounts payable Accrued payroll	S AND FUND BALANCE \$ Total liabilities	12,543 418 12,961	\$ 	310 701 1,011
Fund balance Restricted		1,248,005	<u> </u>	1,108,297
	Total fund balance _ Total liabilities and fund balance \$	1,248,005 1,260,966	- \$ -	1,108,297 1,109,308
	Total habilities and fulld balance \$	1,200,900	-Ψ_	1,109,300

			Years ended June 30				
			2018	_	2017		
Revenues Measure R		\$	1,019,836	\$	995,303		
Investment income	Total revenues	_	3,688 1,023,524		2,741 998,044		
	iotairevenues		1,023,524		990,044		
Expenditures							
Various projects			883,816	_	1,027,654		
	Total expenditures		883,816		1,027,654		
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over expend	ditures		139,708		(29,610)		
Fund balance at beginning of year			1,108,297		1,137,907		
Fund balance at end of year		\$_	1,248,005	\$_	1,108,297		

City of Hawthorne Measure R Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2018 (With Comparative Actuals for 2017)

		_					
Project Code	Project Name		LACMTA Budget	Actual	_	Variance Positive (Negative)	2017 Actual
01-001	Street Improvement Projects	\$	850,000 \$	745,787	\$	104,213 \$	867,948
03-001	Street Lighting Annual Maintenance		60,000	60,000		-	60,000
07-001	Construction Engineering for Hawthorne Blvd		50,000	25,571		24,429	39,196
07-002	In-house Pavement Management/Inspection		56,143	44,550		11,593	49,390
08-001	Administration		7,912	7,908		4	11,120
	Total expenditures	\$	1,024,055 \$	883,816	\$	140,239 \$	1,027,654

City of Hawthorne Measure R Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets Year ended June 30, 2018

Date Acquired		Description		Bala July 201	1,	Additions		Deletions	_	Balance June 30, 2018
	None			\$	\$	_	_\$	-	\$_	-
			Total	\$	- \$	-	\$	-	\$	-

City of Hawthorne Measure M Local Return Fund Balance Sheet June 30, 2018

	ASSETS		
Interest receivable		\$_	77
	Total assets	\$	77
	S AND FUND BALANCE		
Liabilities			
Accounts payable		\$	124,029
Accrued payroll			1,961
Due to other funds			39,928
	Total liabilities		165,918
Fund balance (deficit)			
Restricted			(165,841)
	Total fund balance (deficit)		(165,841)
	Total liabilities and fund balance (deficit)	\$	77

City of Hawthorne Measure M Local Return Fund Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Changes in Fund Balance Year ended June 30, 2018

Revenues Measure M Investment income	\$ Total revenues	924,205 144 924,349
Expenditures Various projects	_	1,090,190
Deficiency of revenues over expenditures	Total expenditures _	1,090,190 (165,841)
Fund balance at beginning of year Fund balance (deficit) at end of year	\$_	(165,841)

City of Hawthorne Measure M Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Expenditures – Actual and LACMTA Approved Project Budget Year ended June 30, 2018

Project Code	Project Name	 LACMTA Budget	Actual	Variance Positive (Negative)
01-001	Street Improvement Projects	\$ 850,000 \$	921,887 \$	(71,887)
07-001	Construction and Engineering for El Segundo Boulevard	60,000	56,544	3,456
07-002	Planning and Design - Various Projects	104,658	104,751	(93)
08-001	Administration	7,008	7,008	-
	Total expenditures	\$ 1,021,666 \$	1,090,190 \$	(68,524)

City of Hawthorne Measure M Local Return Fund Supplementary Information Schedule of Capital Assets Year ended June 30, 2018

Date				Balance July 1,			Balance June 30,
Acquired		Description		2017	<u>Additions</u>	Deletions	2018
	None		\$	\$	<u> </u>	- \$	-
			Total \$	\$	·\$	\$_	<u>-</u>

		Ju	ne 3	
		2018		2017
Due from LACMTA	ASSETS \$ Total assets \$	<u>-</u>	\$_ \$_	4,840 4,840
LIABILITIES Liabilities	S AND FUND BALANCE			
Due to other funds	\$	681	\$	3,832
	Total liabilities	681	·	3,832
Fund balance (deficit)				
Restricted	_	(681)		1,008
	Total fund balance (deficit)	(681)		1,008
Total	liabilities and fund balance (deficit) \$	-	\$	4,840

			Years ended	d June 30
			2018	2017
Revenues Intergovernmental Allocations:		\$	57 244 ¢	59 070
Article 3	Total revenues	»	57,311 \$ 57,311	58,079 58,079
Expenditures General Maintenance Project	Total expenditures		59,000 59,000	57,071 57,071
Excess (deficiency) of revenues over exp	oenditures		(1,689)	1,008
Fund balance at beginning of year			1,008	
Fund balance (deficit) at end of year		\$	(681) \$	1,008

City of Hawthorne Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund Pursuant to Public Utilities Code Section 99234 Supplementary Information Schedule of Transportation Development Act Allocation for Specific Projects Year ended June 30, 2018

Project Description	Program <u>Year</u>	Allocations	Expenditures	Unexpended Allocations	Project Status
Local Allocations:					
General Maintenance Project Totals	2018 \$	57,311 57,311	: 	(1,689) (1,689)	Ongoing
Fund balance at beginning of year				1,008	
Fund balance (deficit) at end of year			\$	(681)	

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Proposition A Local Return Fund (PALRF), the Proposition C Local Return Fund (PCLRF), the Measure R Local Return Fund (MRLRF), the Measure M Local Return Fund (MMLRF) and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (TDAA3F) (collectively, the Funds) are accounted for in separate sets of self-balancing accounts that comprise their assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues and expenditures.

PALRF and PCLRF represent 25% and 20%, respectively, of the $\frac{1}{2}$ cent Proposition A and $\frac{1}{2}$ cent Proposition C sales taxes which are distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on population and must be used exclusively for transportation related programs and projects.

MRLRF is derived from 15% of the county-wide ½ cent Measure R sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

MMLRF is derived from 17% of the county-wide ½ cent Measure M sales tax which is distributed to the jurisdictions within Los Angeles County based on a per capita basis and must be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

TDAA3F is a Special Revenue Fund that accounts for the City's share of the Transportation Development Act Article 3 allocations which are legally restricted for specific purposes.

Basis of Accounting and Measurement Focus

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F are reported as Special Revenue Funds of the City and are accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when they become "susceptible to accrual", that is, measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current period. Expenditures are recorded when the liability is incurred.

Special Revenue Funds are reported on a spending or "financial flow" measurement focus. This means that generally, only current assets, current liabilities and deferred inflows and outflows of resources are included on their balance sheets. Statements of revenues, expenditures and changes in fund balances for Special Revenue Funds generally present increases (revenues and other financing sources) and decreases (expenditures and other financing uses) in net current assets.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

The budgeted amounts presented in this report for comparison to the actual amounts are based on budgets approved by LACMTA and are presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 1 SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Fair Value Measurement

In accordance with GASB Statement No. 72, Fair Value Measurement and Application, the City categorizes its fair value measurement within the fair value hierarchy that is based on the valuation inputs used to measure the fair value of the investment. Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical investments; Level 2 inputs are significant other observable inputs; Level 3 inputs are significant unobservable inputs. Accordingly, the City reports its investments at fair value and recognizes unrealized gain (loss) on investments.

Refer to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report for detailed disclosures regarding the City's investments policy and fair value measurement disclosures.

Fund Balance Reporting

Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 54, Fund Balance Reporting and Governmental Fund Type Definitions, establishes the fund balance classifications that comprise a hierarchy based primarily on the extent to which a government is bound to observe constraints imposed upon the use of the resources reported in governmental funds.

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F report the following fund balance classification as of June 30, 2018 and 2017:

Restricted - Amounts that are constrained for specific purposes, which are
externally imposed by providers, such as creditors, or amounts constrained
due to constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The use of the
Funds' remaining fund balances is restricted for projects approved by
LACMTA.

Information regarding the fund balance reporting policy adopted by the City is described in the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report.

NOTE 2 ANNUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The financial statements reflect only the financial position and results of operations of the PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F, and do not purport to, and do not present fairly the City's financial position as of June 30, 2018 and 2017, and the changes in its financial position for the years then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

NOTE 3 PROPOSITION A AND PROPOSITION C LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Proposition A Ordinance requires that Local Return (LR) funds be used exclusively to benefit public transit. Expenditures related to fixed route and paratransit services, Transportation Demand Management, Transportation Systems Management and fare subsidy programs that exclusively benefit transit are all eligible uses of Proposition A LR funds. Proposition A LR funds may also be traded with other Jurisdictions in exchange for general or other funds.

The Proposition C Ordinance directs that LR funds also be used to benefit public transit, as described above, but provides an expanded list of eligible project expenditures including Congestion Management Programs, bikeways and bike lanes, street improvements supporting public transit service, and Pavement Management System projects. Proposition C LR funds cannot be traded.

Proposition A and Proposition C LR funds must be expended within three years of the last day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated.

In accordance with *Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 4 MEASURE R LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

The Measure R Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Measure R LR funds must be expended within five years of the first day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated or received.

In accordance with *Measure R Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure R Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 5 MEASURE M LOCAL RETURN COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

Measure M was approved by the voters of Los Angeles County on November 8, 2016 to improve transportation and ease traffic congestion consistent with the Los Angeles County Traffic Improvement Plan Ordinance approved by the Metro Board of Directors on June 23, 2016. The Measure M Ordinance specifies that LR funds be used exclusively for transportation purposes.

Measure M LR funds must be expended within five years of the first day of the fiscal year in which funds were originally allocated or received.

In accordance with *Measure M Local Return Program Guidelines*, funds received pursuant to these guidelines may only be used for Measure M Local Return approved programs. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 6 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT COMPLIANCE REQUIREMENTS

In accordance with *Public Utilities Code Section 99234*, funds received pursuant to this Code's section may only be used for activities relating to pedestrians and bicycle facilities. See accompanying Compliance Matrix.

NOTE 7 CASH AND INVESTMENTS

The PALRF, PCLRF, MRLRF, MMLRF and TDAA3F cash balances were pooled with various other City funds for deposit and investment purposes. The share of each fund in the pooled cash account was separately maintained and interest income was apportioned to the participating funds based on the relationship of their average quarterly balances to the total of the pooled cash and investments.

Please refer to the City's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) for a full description of risks relating to cash and investments.

NOTE 8 PROJECT GENERATED REVENUES

Project generated revenues under PALRF for the years ended June 30, 2018 and 2017 consisted of the following:

	2018	2017
Dial-A-Ride fares	\$ 2,609	\$ 2,745
MTA bus pass sales	6,060	8,475
	\$ 8,669	\$ 11,220

NOTE 9 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUND REVENUE ALLOCATION

The revenue allocations for the years ended June 30, 2018 and 2017 consisted of the following:

	2018		2017
FY 2018/17 allocation	\$ 57,311	\$	-
FY 2017/18 allocation	 -	_	58,079
	\$ 57,311	\$	58,079

NOTE 10 TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT ARTICLE 3 FUNDS RESERVED

In accordance with TDA Article 3 (SB821) Guidelines, funds which will not be spent during the fiscal year have been placed on reserve in the Local Transportation Fund (LTF) account with the County Auditor-Controller to be drawn down whenever the funds become eligible for a specific project and an approved drawdown request is received by LACMTA. As of June 30, 2018 and 2017, the City has no funds left on reserve.

NOTE 11 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The City has evaluated subsequent events through December 17, 2018, the date the financial statements were available to be issued and concluded no events have occurred that require disclosure or adjustments to the financial statements.





www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego Manila

Report of Independent Auditors on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Hawthorne, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the Proposition A Local Return Fund, the Proposition C Local Return Fund, the Measure R Local Return Fund, the Measure M Local Return Fund and the Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund (collectively, the Funds) of the City of Hawthorne, California (the City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2018, and the related notes to the financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 17, 2018.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audits of the Funds' financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over the Funds' financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the Funds' financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Funds' financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audits we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.



Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's Proposition A Local Return Fund, Proposition C Local Return Fund, Measure R Local Return Fund, Measure M Local Return Fund and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Fund financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audits, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.


Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Glendale, California December 17, 2018

arguez 4 Company LLP







www.vasquezcpa.com

OFFICE LOCATIONS: Los Angeles Sacramento San Diego Manila

Report of Independent Auditors on Compliance

To the Honorable Members of the City Council of the City of Hawthorne, California and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority

Report on Compliance

We have audited the compliance of the City of Hawthorne, California (the City) with the Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Guidelines, Measure R Local Return Guidelines, Measure M Local Return Guidelines, Transportation Development Act Article 3, and the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority's Funding and Allocation Guidelines for Transportation Development Act Article 3 Bicycle and Pedestrian Funds (collectively, the Guidelines) for the year ended June 30, 2018.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the City's compliance with the Guidelines based on our audit. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the Guidelines. Those standards and the Guidelines require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on the Proposition A Local Return Program, Proposition C Local Return Program, Measure R Local Return Program, Measure M Local Return Program and Transportation Development Act Article 3 Program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance with the Guidelines. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance with the Guidelines.

Opinion

In our opinion, the City of Hawthorne, California complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements of the Guidelines for the year ended June 30, 2018.



Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the requirements, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with the requirements that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Guidelines. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Glendale, California December 17, 2018

guez 4 Company LLP

A. Proposition A and Proposition C Local Return Funds 1. Uses the State Controller's Uniform System of Accounts and Records. 2. Timely use of funds. 3. Funds expended were approved and have not been substituted for property tax. 4. Expenditures that exceeded 25% of approved project budget have approved project budget have approved mended Project Description Form (Form A) 5. Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap of the total annual Local Return Expenditures. 6. All on-going and carryover projects were reported in Form B. 7. Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted timely. 8. Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) was submitted timely. 9. Cash or cash equivalents are maintained. 10. Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation are adequate. 11. Pavement Management System (PMS) in place and being used for Street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures. 12. Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures. 13. Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements. 14. Assurances and Understandings		Compliance Requirements		ompli	ance	Questioned	If no, provide details and		
1. Uses the State Controller's Uniform System of Accounts and Records. X 2. Timely use of funds. X 3. Funds expended were approved and have not been substituted for property tax. X 4. Expenditures that exceeded 25% of approved project budget have approved mended Project Description Form (Form A) X 5. Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap of the total annual Local Return Expenditures. X 6. All on-going and carryover projects were reported in Form B. X 7. Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted timely. X 8. Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) was submitted timely. X 9. Cash or cash equivalents are maintained. X 10. Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation are adequate. X 11. Pavement Management System (PMS) in place and being used for Street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures. X 12. Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures. X 13. Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements. X				No	N/A	Costs	management response.		
1. Uses the State Controller's Uniform System of Accounts and Records. X 2. Timely use of funds. X 3. Funds expended were approved and have not been substituted for property tax. X 4. Expenditures that exceeded 25% of approved project budget have approved amended Project Description Form (Form A) 5. Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap of the total annual Local Return Expenditures. X 6. All on-going and carryover projects were reported in Form B. X 7. Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted timely. X 8. Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) was submitted timely. X 9. Cash or cash equivalents are maintained. X 10. Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation are adequate. X 11. Pavement Management System (PMS) in place and being used for Street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures. X 12. Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures. X 13. Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements. X	A.								
System of Accounts and Records. X 2. Timely use of funds. X 3. Funds expended were approved and have not been substituted for property tax. X 4. Expenditures that exceeded 25% of approved project budget have approved amended Project Description Form (Form A) X 5. Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap of the total annual Local Return Expenditures. X 6. All on-going and carryover projects were reported in Form B. X 7. Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted timely. X 8. Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) was submitted timely. X 9. Cash or cash equivalents are maintained. X 10. Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation are adequate. X 11. Pavement Management System (PMS) in place and being used for Street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures. X 12. Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures. X 13. Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements. X									
2. Timely use of funds. 3. Funds expended were approved and have not been substituted for property tax. 4. Expenditures that exceeded 25% of approved amended Project Description Form (Form A) 5. Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap of the total annual Local Return Expenditures. 6. All on-going and carryover projects were reported in Form B. 7. Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted timely. 8. Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) was submitted timely. 9. Cash or cash equivalents are maintained. 10. Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation are adequate. 11. Pavement Management System (PMS) in place and being used for Street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures. 12. Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures. 13. Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements.			V						
3. Funds expended were approved and have not been substituted for property tax. 4. Expenditures that exceeded 25% of approved project budget have approved amended Project Description Form (Form A) 5. Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap of the total annual Local Return Expenditures. 6. All on-going and carryover projects were reported in Form B. 7. Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted timely. 8. Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) was submitted timely. 9. Cash or cash equivalents are maintained. 10. Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation are adequate. 11. Pavement Management System (PMS) in place and being used for Street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures. 12. Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures. 13. Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements.			X						
and have not been substituted for property tax. 4. Expenditures that exceeded 25% of approved project budget have approved amended Project Description Form (Form A) 5. Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap of the total annual Local Return Expenditures. 6. All on-going and carryover projects were reported in Form B. 7. Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted timely. 8. Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) was submitted timely. 9. Cash or cash equivalents are maintained. 10. Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation are adequate. 11. Pavement Management System (PMS) in place and being used for Street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures. 12. Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures. 13. Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements.			X						
4. Expenditures that exceeded 25% of approved project budget have approved amended Project Description Form (Form A) X 5. Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap of the total annual Local Return Expenditures. X 6. All on-going and carryover projects were reported in Form B. X 7. Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted timely. X 8. Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) was submitted timely. X 9. Cash or cash equivalents are maintained. X 10. Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation are adequate. X 11. Pavement Management System (PMS) in place and being used for Street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures. X 12. Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures. X 13. Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements. X									
4. Expenditures that exceeded 25% of approved project budget have approved amended Project Description Form (Form A) 5. Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap of the total annual Local Return Expenditures. 6. All on-going and carryover projects were reported in Form B. 7. Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted timely. 8. Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) was submitted timely. 9. Cash or cash equivalents are maintained. 10. Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation are adequate. 11. Pavement Management System (PMS) in place and being used for Street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures. 12. Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures. 13. Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements.			\ \						
approved project budget have approved amended Project Description Form (Form A) X 5. Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap of the total annual Local Return Expenditures. X 6. All on-going and carryover projects were reported in Form B. X 7. Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted timely. X 8. Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) was submitted timely. X 9. Cash or cash equivalents are maintained. X 10. Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation are adequate. X 11. Pavement Management System (PMS) in place and being used for Street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures. X 12. Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures. X 13. Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements. X			Χ						
approved amended Project Description Form (Form A) 5. Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap of the total annual Local Return Expenditures. 6. All on-going and carryover projects were reported in Form B. 7. Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted timely. 8. Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) was submitted timely. 9. Cash or cash equivalents are maintained. 10. Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation are adequate. 11. Pavement Management System (PMS) in place and being used for Street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures. 12. Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures. 13. Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements.									
Description Form (Form A) X 5. Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap of the total annual Local Return Expenditures. X 6. All on-going and carryover projects were reported in Form B. X 7. Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted timely. X 8. Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) was submitted timely. X 9. Cash or cash equivalents are maintained. X 10. Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation are adequate. X 11. Pavement Management System (PMS) in place and being used for Street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures. X 12. Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures. X 13. Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements. X									
5. Administrative expenses are within the 20% cap of the total annual Local Return Expenditures. X 6. All on-going and carryover projects were reported in Form B. X 7. Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted timely. X 8. Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) was submitted timely. X 9. Cash or cash equivalents are maintained. X 10. Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation are adequate. X 11. Pavement Management System (PMS) in place and being used for Street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures. X 12. Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures. X 13. Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements. X			V						
the 20% cap of the total annual Local Return Expenditures. 6. All on-going and carryover projects were reported in Form B. 7. Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted timely. 8. Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) was submitted timely. 9. Cash or cash equivalents are maintained. 10. Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation are adequate. 11. Pavement Management System (PMS) in place and being used for Street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures. 12. Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures. 13. Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements.			٨						
Local Return Expenditures. X 6. All on-going and carryover projects were reported in Form B. X 7. Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted timely. X 8. Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) was submitted timely. X 9. Cash or cash equivalents are maintained. X 10. Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation are adequate. X 11. Pavement Management System (PMS) in place and being used for Street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures. X 12. Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures. X 13. Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements. X									
6. All on-going and carryover projects were reported in Form B. X 7. Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted timely. X 8. Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) was submitted timely. X 9. Cash or cash equivalents are maintained. X 10. Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation are adequate. X 11. Pavement Management System (PMS) in place and being used for Street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures. X 12. Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures. X 13. Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements. X			V						
were reported in Form B. X 7. Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted timely. X 8. Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) was submitted timely. X 9. Cash or cash equivalents are maintained. X 10. Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation are adequate. X 11. Pavement Management System (PMS) in place and being used for Street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures. X 12. Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures. X 13. Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements. X			^						
7. Annual Project Summary Report (Form B) was submitted timely. 8. Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) was submitted timely. 9. Cash or cash equivalents are maintained. 10. Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation are adequate. 11. Pavement Management System (PMS) in place and being used for Street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures. 12. Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures. 13. Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements. X			V						
(Form B) was submitted timely. X 8. Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) was submitted timely. X 9. Cash or cash equivalents are maintained. X 10. Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation are adequate. X 11. Pavement Management System (PMS) in place and being used for Street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures. X 12. Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures. X 13. Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements. X									
8. Annual Expenditure Report (Form C) was submitted timely. X 9. Cash or cash equivalents are maintained. X 10. Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation are adequate. X 11. Pavement Management System (PMS) in place and being used for Street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures. X 12. Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures. X 13. Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements. X			V						
C) was submitted timely. X 9. Cash or cash equivalents are maintained. X 10. Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation are adequate. X 11. Pavement Management System (PMS) in place and being used for Street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures. X 12. Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures. X 13. Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements. X			^						
9. Cash or cash equivalents are maintained. X 10. Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation are adequate. X 11. Pavement Management System (PMS) in place and being used for Street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures. X 12. Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures. X 13. Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements. X			_						
maintained. X 10. Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation are adequate. X 11. Pavement Management System (PMS) in place and being used for Street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures. X 12. Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures. X 13. Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements. X			^						
10. Accounting procedures, record keeping and documentation are adequate. 11. Pavement Management System (PMS) in place and being used for Street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures. 12. Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures. 13. Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements. X									
keeping and documentation are adequate. 11. Pavement Management System (PMS) in place and being used for Street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures. 12. Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures. 13. Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements. X			^						
adequate. X 11. Pavement Management System (PMS) in place and being used for Street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures. X 12. Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures. X 13. Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements. X									
11. Pavement Management System (PMS) in place and being used for Street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures. 12. Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures. 13. Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements. X			Y						
(PMS) in place and being used for Street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures. X 12. Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures. X 13. Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements. X									
Street Maintenance or Improvement Projects Expenditures. 12. Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures. 13. Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements. X									
Projects Expenditures. X 12. Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures. X 13. Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements. X									
12. Local Return Account is credited for reimbursable expenditures. 13. Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements. X			X						
reimbursable expenditures. X 13. Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements. X									
13. Self-Certification was completed and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements.					X				
and submitted for Intelligent Transportation Systems projects or elements. X									
Transportation Systems projects or elements.									
elements.									
					Х				
form was on file.			Х						
15. Recreational Transit Form was									
submitted timely.					Х				


	Compliance Possiromente		In Compliance			Questioned	If no, provide details and
	Compliance Requirements		Yes	No	N/A	Costs	management response.
B.		asure R Local Return Fund					
	1.	Funds were expended for					
		transportation purposes.	Х				
	2.	Funds were used to augment, not					
		supplant, existing local revenues					
		being used for transportation					
		purposes unless there is a funding					
		shortfall.	Х				
	3.	Signed Assurances and					
		Understandings on file.	Х				
	4.	Separate Measure R Local Return					
		Account was established.	Х				
	5.	Revenues received including					
		allocations, project generated					
		revenues and interest income was					
		properly credited to the Measure R					
		Local Return Account.	Х				
	6.	Funds were expended with					
		LACMTA's approval.	X				
	7.	Expenditure Plan (Form One) was					
		submitted timely.	Х				
	8.	Expenditure Report (Form Two)					
		was submitted timely.	Х				
	9.	Timely use of funds.	Х				
	10.	Administrative expenses are within					
		the 20% cap.	Х				
	11.	Fund exchanges were approved by					
		LACMTA.			Χ		
	12.	A separate account was established					
		for Capital reserve funds and					
		Capital reserve was approved by					
		LACMTA.			X		
	13.	Recreational transit form was					
		submitted timely.			X		

	Compliance Requirements		In Compliance			Questioned	If no, provide details and
			Yes	No	N/A	Costs	management response.
C.		asure M Local Return Fund					
	1.	Funds were expended for					
		transportation purposes.	Х				
	2.	Funds were used to augment, not					
		supplant, existing local revenues					
		being used for transportation					
		purposes unless there is a fund					
		shortfall.	X				
	3.	Signed Assurances and					
		Understandings on file.	X				
	4.	Separate Measure M Local Return					
		Account was established.	X				
	5.	Revenues received including					
		allocations, project generated					
		revenues and interest income was					
		properly credited to the Measure M					
		Local Return Account.	Х				
	6.	Funds were expended with					
		LACMTA's approval.	X				
	7.	Expenditure Plan (Form M-One)					
		was submitted timely.	Χ				
	8.	Expenditure Report (Form M-Two)					
		was submitted timely.	Х				
		Timely use of funds.	Χ				
	10.	Administrative expenses are within					
		the 20% cap.	Χ				
	11.	Fund exchanges were approved by					
		LACMTA.			Χ		
	12.	A separate account was established					
		for Capital reserve funds and					
		Capital reserve was approved by					
		LACMTA.			Χ		
	13.	Recreational transit form was			_		
		submitted timely.			Χ		

Compliance Requirements		In Compliance			Questioned	If no, provide details and
		Yes	No	N/A	Costs	management response.
D.	D. Transportation Development Act					
	Article 3 Fund					
	 Timely use of funds. 	Х				
	Expenditures were incurred for					
	activities relating to pedestrian and					
	bicycle facilities and amenities.	Х				



There were no findings noted.



An exit conference was held on December 17, 2018 with the City of Hawthorne representatives. Those in attendance were:

Vasquez and Company LLP representatives:

Marialyn Salvador – Audit Senior Manager
Shweta Mehrotra – Audit Supervisor

City of Hawthorne representatives:

Felice Lopez – Finance Director
Shuntell Dixon – Internal Auditor

Matters discussed:

Results of the audit disclosed no significant compliance or financial statement issues.

A copy of this report was forwarded to the following City of Hawthorne representatives for comments prior to the issuance of the final report:

Felice Lopez – Finance Director Shuntell Dixon – Internal Auditor



www.vasquezcpa.com

Vasquez & Company LLP has over 45 years of experience in performing audit, accounting & consulting services for all types of nonprofit organizations, for-profit companies, governmental entities and publicly traded companies. Vasquez is a member of the RSM US Alliance. RSM US Alliance provides its members with access to resources of RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance member firms are separate and independent businesses and legal entities that are responsible for their own acts and omissions, and each are separate and independent from RSM US LLP. RSM US LLP is the U.S. member firm of RSM International, a global network of independent audit, tax, and consulting firms. Members of RSM US Alliance have access to RSM International resources through RSM US LLP but are not member firms of RSM International. Visit rsmus.com/about us for more information regarding RSM US LLP and RSM International. The RSM™ logo is used under license by RSM US LLP. RSM US Alliance products and services are proprietary to RSM US LLP.