City of Hawthorne, California

Single Audit Report on Federal Awards Year Ended June 30, 2017



ROGERS, ANDERSON, MALODY & SCOTT, LLP CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS, SINCE 1948

# <u>Page</u>

Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> 1	
Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program; Report on Internal Control Over Compliance; and Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required in Accordance with the Uniform Guidance	
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards6	
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards7	
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs:	
Section I: Summary of Auditor's Results8	
Section II: Financial Statements Findings9	
Section III: Federal Awards Findings and Questioned Costs9	
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings10	



ROGERS, ANDERSON, MALODY & SCOTT, LLP CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS, SINCE 1948

735 E. Carnegie Dr. Suite 100 San Bernardino, CA 92408 909 889 0871 T 909 889 5361 F ramscpa.net

#### PARTNERS

Brenda L. Odle, CPA, MST Terry P. Shea, CPA Kirk A. Franks, CPA Scott W. Manno, CPA, CGMA Leena Shanbhag, CPA, MST, CGMA Bradferd A. Welebir, CPA, MBA, CGMA Jay H. Zercher, CPA (Partner Emeritus) Phillip H. Waller, CPA (Partner Emeritus)

#### MANAGERS / STAFF

Jenny Liu, CPA, MST Seong-Hyea Lee, CPA, MBA Charles De Simoni, CPA Gardenya Duran, CPA Brianna Schultz, CPA Lisa Dongxue Guo, CPA, MSA



MEMBERS American Institute of Certified Public Accountants

> PCPS The AICPA Alliance for CPA Firms

Governmental Audit Quality Center

California Society of Certified Public Accountants

### REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Independent Auditor's Report

To the Honorable Mayor and City Council City of Hawthorne, California

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, the aggregate discretely presented component units, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of Hawthorne (the City) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise City's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated December 28, 2017.

#### Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the City's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

### Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Kogens, Anderson, Malody & Scott, LLP.

San Bernardino, California March 28, 2018



735 E. Carnegie Dr. Suite 100 San Bernardino, CA 92408 909 889 0871 T 909 889 5361 F ramscpa.net

#### PARTNERS

Brenda L. Odle, CPA, MST Terry P. Shea, CPA Kirk A. Franks, CPA Scott W. Manno, CPA, CGMA Leena Shanbhag, CPA, MST, CGMA Bradferd A. Welebir, CPA, MBA, CGMA Jay H. Zercher, CPA (Partner Emeritus) Phillip H. Waller, CPA (Partner Emeritus)

#### MANAGERS / STAFF

Jenny Liu, CPA, MST Seong-Hyea Lee, CPA, MBA Charles De Simoni, CPA Gardenya Duran, CPA Brianna Schultz, CPA Lisa Dongxue Guo, CPA, MSA



MEMBERS American Institute of Certified Public Accountants

> PCPS The AICPA Alliance for CPA Firms

Governmental Audit Quality Center

California Society of Certified Public Accountants

## REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR FEDERAL PROGRAM; REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE; AND REPORT ON SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES OF FEDERAL AWARDS IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE

ROGERS, ANDERSON, MALODY & SCOTT, LLP CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS, SINCE 1948

Independent Auditor's Report

To the Honorable Mayor and Members of City Council City of Hawthorne, California

#### **Report of Compliance for Each Major Federal Program**

We have audited the City of Hawthorne's (the City) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *U.S. Office of Management and Budget* (OMB) *Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the City's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2017. The City's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

#### Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

#### Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the City's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of City's compliance.

### Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2017.

### **Report on Internal Control over Compliance**

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency of over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that were not identified.

#### Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2017, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated December 28, 2017, which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required by the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

The purpose of this report in internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

Rogers, Anderson, Maloohy & Scott, LLP.

San Bernardino, California March 28, 2018 (except for our report on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards, for which the date is December 28, 2017)

# City of Hawthorne Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Year Ended June 30, 2017

Federal Grantor/ Pass-Through Grantor/ Program Title	Federal CFDA Number	Agency or Pass-Through Number	Federal Expenditures	Amount Provided to Subrecipients	
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development Direct Assistance:					
Community Development Block Grant Subtotal	14.218	B-09-MC-06-0519	\$ 532,292 532,292	\$ 172,736 172,736	
CDBG 108 Guaranteed Loan Subtotal	14.248	Various	414,727	-	
Home Investment Partnership Program (HOME) Subtotal	14.239	M-09-MC-06-0513	284,482 284,482	-	
Housing Assistance Payment Program Section 8	14.871 *	HAPP Various	6,267,574	-	
Passed through the County of Los Angeles and Other Cities: Housing Assistance Payment Program Section 8 Subtotal	14.871 *	various	2,775,735 9,043,309	-	
Total U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development			10,274,810	172,736	
U.S. Department of Justice					
Direct Assistance: Equitable Sharing Program (Federal Asset Forfeiture Program) Subtotal	16.922 *	CA0192800	2,053,147		
Bureau of Assistance: Edward Byrne Justice Assistance Grant - 2015	16.738	2015-DJ-BX-0539	5,030		
Subtotal Total U.S. Department of Justice			2,058,177	-	
			_,,		
U.S Department of Homeland Security Passed through the City of Los Angeles					
Urban Area Security Initiative Grant Program 2015	97.067	C-127252	(261)	-	
Total U.S Department of Homeland Security			(261)	<u> </u>	
U.S. Department of Transportation Direct Assistance:					
Federal Aviation Administration: Airport Improvement Program - Noise Study	20.106	3-06-0101-012-2011	43.258	-	
Airport Improvement Program - Airport Master/Layout Plan	20.106	3-06-0101-016-2016	121,087	-	
Subtotal			164,345	-	
Passed through the County of Los Angeles: 2009 Street Improvements - Inglewood Avenue Signals Subtotal	20.205	HSIPL-5253	<u> </u>		
Passed through the State of California: Office of Traffic Safety:			555,997	-	
Selective Traffic Enforcement Program	20.600	PT14131	77,214		
Total U.S. Department of Transportation			837.556	<u> </u>	
				¢ 470 700	
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards			\$ 13,170,282	\$ 172,736	

See accompanying notes to the schedule of expenditures of federal awards.

#### NOTE 1: BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA) includes the federal award activity of the City of Hawthorne (the City) that are reimbursable under federal programs of the federal government for the year ended June 30, 2017. The information in this SEFA is presented in accordance with Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* (CFR) Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Because the SEFA presents only a selected portion of the operations of the City, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position, changes in net position or fund balance, or cash flows of the City. For the purposes of this schedule, federal financial assistance includes both federal financial assistance received directly from a federal agency, as well as federal funds received indirectly by the City from a nonfederal agency or other organization. Only that portion of program expenditures in excess of the maximum federal funds are reported in the accompanying schedule. Program expenditures that were funded with state, local or other non-federal funds are excluded from the accompanying schedule.

#### NOTE 2: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Expenditures reported on the SEFA are reported on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Such expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in the Uniform Guidance, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement. Negative amounts shown on the SEFA, if any, represent adjustments or credits made in the normal course of business to amounts reported as expenditures in prior years. The City has elected to use the 10-percent de minimis indirect cost rate, where applicable, allowed under the Uniform Guidance.

#### NOTE 3: SUBRECIPIENTS

During the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017, the City disbursed \$172,736 of federal awards to several subrecipients.

## Section I - Summary of Auditor's Results

#### Financial Statements

Type of report the auditor issued on whether the financial statements audited were prepared in accordance with GAAP: Unmodified

Internal control over financial reporting:

Material weakness identified?		Yes	X	No				
Significant deficiencies identified that are not considered to be								
material weaknesses?		Yes	X	None Reported				
Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?		Yes	X	No				
Federal Awards								
Internal control over major programs:								
Material weakness identified?		Yes	<u> </u>	No				
Significant deficiencies identified that are not considered to be								
material weaknesses?		Yes	X	None Reported				
Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs: Unmodified								
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with 2 CFR 200.516(a)?		Yes	х	No				
Identification of major programs:		100						
CFDA Number	Name of Federal Program							
14.871 16.922	Housing Assistance Payment Program Section 8 Equitable Sharing Program							
Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs:	\$750,000							
Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?	X	Yes		No				

# Section II: Financial Statement Findings

No findings to be reported.

# Section III: Federal Awards Findings and Questioned Costs

No findings to be reported.

# **Financial Statement Findings**

No prior year findings to report.

# Federal Awards Findings and Questioned Costs

No prior year findings to report.